

# A rose in any other font would not smell as sweet: Effects of perceptual fluency on categorization ☆

- [Daniel M. Oppenheimer<sup>a...</sup>](#) ,
  - [Michael C. Frank<sup>b</sup>](#)
- [Show more](#)

## Cognition

[Volume 106, Issue 3](#), March 2008, Pages 1178–1194

DOI: 10.1016/j.cognition.2007.05.010

[Get rights and content](#)

---

### Abstract

Fluency – the ease with which people process information – is a central piece of information we take into account when we make judgments about the world. Prior research has shown that fluency affects judgments in a wide variety of domains, including frequency, familiarity, and confidence. In this paper, we present evidence that fluency also plays a role in categorization judgments. In Experiment 1, participants judged a variety of different exemplars to be worse category members if they were less fluent (because they were presented in a smaller typeface). In Experiment 2, we found that fluency also affected judgments of feature typicality. In Experiment 3, we demonstrated that the effects of fluency can be reversed when a salient attribution for reduced fluency is available (i.e., the stimuli are hard to read because they were printed by a printer with low toner). In Experiment 4 we replicated these effects using a within-subject design, which ruled out the possibility that the effects were a statistical artifact caused by aggregation of data. We propose a possible mechanism for these effects: if an exemplar and its category are closely related, activation of one will cause priming of the other, leading to increased fluency. Over time, feelings of fluency come to be used as a valid cue that can become confused with more traditional sources of information about category membership.

### Keywords

- Perceptual fluency;
- Categorization;
- Priming;
- Categorical induction

☆

This material is based upon work supported by the Norman Anderson research fund and by Grant # 0518811 from the National Science Foundation. The authors would like to thank two anonymous reviewers, Sara Etchison, David Collister, Anuj Shah, Steve Sloman, Melissa Miller, Jessica Laughlin, Benoit Monin, Rebecca Norwick, Max Abelev, Teenie Matlock, and Pamela Sawyer for their help in all aspects of this research, and thanks to mom and dad on principle.

Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 609 258 7465.